# I Believe in Bugs Bunny Debate with Muhammad Al Assadi Part 9

> " Sina Ali, I cannot say I am happy to see you again, but I can ask: why you disappeared as soon as I said let us get serious, many came to my mail Address knocking and asking why I fled, I explained how I said let us start, had no answer from you.

Mr.Al Assadi. I don't know you and have no idea about your state of mind. But it seems to me you are a confused person. After round V you sent a message full of nonsense and told me not to publish it that you are going to answer my question soon. Then I did not hear from you. Your friend then asked whether I have given up. I told him that I am still waiting for your response. Then you responded what I published in round VI.

> Anyway, here I am you had a good question, put almost in a right way, what about Embryo's, I said please put it in a real questions, meaning: tell me what is that you do not like about what Allah said, and instead you send me another news paper to read. I would like to ask you again, I wished if you just asked about the Embryo, nothing else.

What part of the question you do not understand? I gave you two articles, written by two medical doctors, one and Arab ex-Muslim and another by a Christian, showing the description of the development of the embryo in the Quran is wrong. What part of this is difficult to understand?

Anyway, this time I will answer all what came here, but this is going to be in many responses, I will not move to the next part before you are finished with the first, and if you can; do not start a new issue before we finish this message first, you see it as one issue, and you are wrong, and you will see when I discus how it is many issues, anyway let us start. Here is what you said, when I said only Embryo. This is what you said:

| |
|---|
|Quote:|
|Mr. Al Assadi:
We started this debate by you trying to prove my charges against Muhammad are wrong.

We touched several points and I kept showing that the Quran is wrong on many points. You introduced the verse 41:11 saying how could Muhammad have known the primary plasma of the universe was smoke like. I explained this he did not know and that is not what he intended when he wrote the word smoke in that verse. We scrutinized the verses 41:9 to 41:12 and saw everything Muhammad had said in those verses were bogus and unscientific. Then I explained the origin of those verses are ancient myths of the Mesopotamians. There Muhammad is rehashing the fairytales of the ancient people and is not saying anything scientific.|

I say: no, you did not show except what you think, does not mean Qur'aan is wrong as you atated, and this you have to prove, or I can defeat your wrong understanding.
Very well, I did introduce verse 41:11 asking how Muhammad PBUH knew it started form Smoke, nice story you brought about the Mesopotamians, where you find this stuff at, you are really something. Ok, show me please where in the Qur'aan those who taught Muhammad are found from the Mesopotamians, if you do not mind. Now let me show you how you are wrong about the same. If Muhammad PBUH learned this as you said, he would say it one time, let us see how the word smoke came, or in what manner this showed up.
Ayah (Verse) 41:11 says: then Allah went to the Heaven while it was still smoke like. I do see how the way this verse is stated is with such assurance from someone who knows what he is talking about, not guessing, or hesitates, and being supported by today's Scientific discoveries that you wish not to accept. Qur'aan says Smoke, you say a Myth, and you expect us just to say: Good Job Sina Ali, we are convinced because you said it, who cares about Allah, I am sorry this will never happen.

This point is clear to all thinking people. My intention is not to convince you but to show that Islam is false.

Allah also said in Ayah 21:104: As Allah started the first creation He returns it to how it was before. Science also says the same, and this we need to ask you how Muhammad PBUH knew this too? Many are the Ayahs that says how Allah will return everything to how it was from the very start.

These verse 21.104 reads: “The Day that We roll up the heavens like a scroll rolled up for books (completed),- even as We produced the first creation, so shall We produce a new one”

There is nothing scientific in this nonsense. Heavens are not like a sheet of paper of scrolls to be rolled up. It is clear that Muhammad thought heaven is a dome like a tent that is pitched over the earth, where the stars are hanging as adornments. What part of this waffle is scientific? Muhammad did not know anything. He was a stupid Arab of the seventh century and his knowledge was not even al par to those learned people of his time or before him. He talks like an idiot.

> Now, He said in 41:11 how it was smoke, here he says and in many other places how he will return everything to how it was in the very beginning, meaning smoke state. Allah also said in Ayah 44:10: and keep in waiting until the heaven brings forth clear Smoke. As we said: He started with Smoke, said He will return as it was, then here is saying: keep waiting until the Heaven brings forth the clear Smoke again, which means it is the promise he made that day all of us will return to face our evil against him, including you Sina and all those who attack Him. Ok, looks to me how Muhammad PBUH, or Allah meant it when the Qur’aan stated it how Heaven was Smoke like, and you are wrong, you can see now the whole picture so clear, He meant what He said, Smoke it was, and Smoke it will be next.

You are just talking gibberish. But keep talking like that as it shows the intellectual bankruptcy of Muslim clerisy. The reason I asked you to tell us who you are is to show to the world that these people with whom I debate are not the ignorant Muslims but their intellectuals.

> I don’t believe your Mythologies from Mesopotamians say the same,
>
> Why should I care?
>
> again as we see here, the Noble Qur’aan emphasizes how the heavens were smoke, and to smoke they will return, no gap or ifs or buts, solid forward talk, and not estimating.

And this solid forward talk is nothing but the drivel of a mad man. There is nothing scientific of logical in it.

> Now as that was coincidence from old fiction, which is not likely in the same manner that came in Qur’aan, then we would not deny Qur’aan but would tell you how Allah told us in the book how He sent the same Revelation to mankind through the times since Adam PBUH, then if any old Civilization remembers any of this, this supports what Allah says.

Sir: Why you don't you want to open your ears and listen? This story is wrong and unscientific. The origin of that is ancient mythology made up by ancient people who had no understanding of how the universe is made. Muhammad rehashed these same tales and folklore. They are wrong.

> On the other hand, you need to stick to things as they are found, I wished if instead you would say today's Science and Qur'aan were wrong about heaven being originally Smoke, and perhaps you with your creative mind can give us that which is different and supported with solid proofs instead of accusing solid knowledge of being the fiction of Old times.

I don't have to tell you anything. The theory of how the universe has come to be is thought in high schools. What the Quran says is not solid knowledge. It is balderdash and BS.

> Now, I would like to ask: Since I am discussing with you only Qur'aan, not story books, I would not consider your story as true, I do see how Allah said how Muhammad PBUH was an Illiterate, not educated at all, and then he would not know what you know about the history of those who lived between the two Babylonian Rivers of Old days. If Muhammad PBUH was not illiterate, then all those who read how Allah gave him this title would never believe in his message, and become two billions today. He was Illiterate, yet you accuse him in other stories of learning from a Christian priest, where this is found in Judaism, and Christianity in this clarity or remotely mentioned? Have you ever saw the Judeo Christian book, ignorantly called by the Bible, do you see anything like this, I do not think you would. Now you say Muhammad PBUH was such a professional about history of the nations between the two rivers, I would like you to come today to the middle of the Arabian desert, take an illiterate man who never read anything and ask him to tell you things or stories about Old Babylon, you think they can, how about Muhammad PBUH who was here 1425 years back, knowing all things from the middle of the Desert, are you trying to make him a God? We thank you so much but can do without, it is enough others who are so ignorant made men for Gods to worship, we can accept Muhammad PBUH to be very human.

Muhammad did not have to be a historian to know the little things that he knew. Muhammad's knowledge of everything was extremely superficial. In those days instead of radio or television, people listened to storytellers who told them stories of the past, the kings, the prophets and the old legends. What Muhammad knew superficially was common knowledge. Everyone had heard these storied a hundred times. Today I can go in the middle of the desert and grab a Bedouin who can't read and he know about Moses, Jesus, Ali Baba and the frothy thieves, that people have landed on moon that Chinese speak Chinese and many other things. Muhammad's knowledge was nothing exceptional and often he was wrong. For example he thought Marry the mother of Christ is the same Miriam the sister of Aaron and Moses because in Arabic both these names are pronounced Maryam. So the fool heard the sister of Aaron is Maryam and the mother of Jesus is also Maryam and he thought

these two are the same person. This is an error that an illiterate man would do. If he could read the Bible he would not have made this gross mistake. There are hundreds of other mistakes in the Quran that show Muhammad was indeed an illiterate person and his knowledge was second hand and hearsay.

Next you said:

| |
|---|
|Quote:|
|In the process of this debate we discovered several errors in the Quran. For example the verse 2:2 that says "that book" guides the righteous ones, (those who guard against evil) contain three errors:
Allah made a mistake to call his book “that book”. He should have said “this book” unless we assume that this verse is not part of the Quran.|

I said: anyone with little reasoning would accept how if Allah is touching the book, he should say: This, but even if He is everywhere, and closer to us than the Veins of our bodies, He can use that, which is more reasonable and more powerful than using this is the book, because if He said this, someone like you would say if I and Allah are holding to the book at the same time, then He says this, I will ask: why I do not see Him? Allah says that, meaning I am holding it alone, He is not holding to it with me at the same time, but speaking from His distance. Now how He is at a distance yet so close, when you speak with your Mobile with some one across the world, you are as close as being Heard, yet far away as if on a different planet. Closeness as we know is not what is meant by Him, even the Judeo Christian book says: touching the LORD we cannot. Means we do not know much about Him, or how He does things, we are limited with our thinking. We do not know how so close He is to us, Christians say His spirit is in our bodies, how? We tell you we do not know how He is so close, but He is, and He can. Then just stay with this and that in this topic, He says “that” to what I hold to and doesn’t say “this” if you wish to understand or reject the simple thing makes no difference.

If God is everywhere then for more reason he should use this and not that when talking about his own book. No matter how you see this verse it is wrong.

| |
|---|
|Quote:|
|When it says there is no doubt in it, it is making a false statement because many people doubted it when it was written and many still do.|

I say: He did not say: no doubt about it, He said: no doubt about it for those who have fear, then Allah is not speaking about those who have doubt from all over the world.

We are not interested to hear what YOU say. The Quran does not say what you say. This is a clear lie and bogus boastfulness. Furthermore, isn't it stupid to say those who believe in me don't doubt it? Those who believe in the Bible don't doubt it either. Those who believe in any book don't doubt that book. The statement is a stupid redundancy.

> Sina, you do need to read as it is, not as you wish to read and twist it.

It is you who are reading this book through the eyes of a believer and can't see the obvious errors in it.

> See, how many billions know the LORD exists, and how many are those who are in doubt and say He does not, and He is a negative? Do those who believe there is a LORD will change thinking He exists, because those others are in doubt about it?

This is a red herring fallacy. We are talking about the Quran and the errors of that book are obvious and can be proven.

>

There is no doubt about Qur'aan for those who have fear from the LORD, but for those who doubt it Allah says: The ignorant Evil try to show greatness over their LORD. Imagine you are standing against an Army of tough men, and you say: I doubt they would take me to pieces, would your doubts make a true statement, or your doubts would show only ignorance and under estimating? Yes, Qur'aan there is no doubt about it, even when we Muslims have so much doubt about the Christians New Testament, Christians would tell us how there book has no doubt it. Allah says: let whosoever chooses to be a believer, and whosoever chooses to be other wise, the day will come when we will face Him.

Fighting an army of tough men where your death is certain is foolish, but to bring that example here is a non sequitur fallacy. An army standing in front of me is a fact. There is no need to prove the existence of such an army. You want me to believe in your fairytale as if it is true and fear it like I would fear a real army without providing any evidence that such thing exist. This is foolishness.  You haven't yet give us a single proof that Muhammad was a prophet of God and his Allah is the real God and you want us to comply already. This is like I tell you I am God and if you don't believe in me I will slice you like ham and demand obedience. Is that enough proof for you to believe in me and do what I tell you? Wouldn't you first demand that I give you the proof of my claim before submitting to my command? So far you said nothing but logical fallacies.

>

Now we Muslims ask the question: are those who are in doubt about Qur'aan in good state, do they doubt it out of knowledge, or out of ignorance, now this is left to be debated.

The fallacy of the Quran is proven. I reject the Quran with knowledge. You believe in that book of hodgepodge out of ignorance and faith. Of course those who reject the Quran are in better state than those who believe in it. Muslims are the poorest and the most backward people of the world and the only reason for that is that they follow the Quran.

> Quote:
>
> You said: When it says it guides those who are righteous also shows it is a redundant book. However, you may get away with this by interpreting the mottaqeen as "those who are fearful"

I say: I do not need to get away with anything; I am just correcting your lack of knowledge about the meaning of the word Muttaqein, and not to allow you to get away with it.

> Quote:
>
> Then we spoke of Allah's illogical behavior of sealing the hearts of the people, misleading them and then punishing them. We saw that the Quran even claims that if Allah wanted to guide people, it would be easy for him but he does not do it on purpose. These verses show Allah is not God but Satan. The job of God is guiding people and saving them and the job of Satan is to mislead them, trick them and make them err.

I say: This and the rest of your debate will be answered next time with the wish of the LORD, you should have brought one topic at the time, by the end of my answers even you will realize you brought so many. Not answering may lead you to the wrong assumption I acknowledge what you say, and I don't wish you to get the wrong impressions. Now, before I continue answering this message, let me wait to see if you still have anything else to say about the same here, would you do us a favor by not moving to another topic until we are done with this? Now, if you do not have anything else to say here, just ask me to continue answering what you sent in this message, before you send another message if you don't mind, let us finish one topic at the time, I hope one day you will. "

That is what we agreed in the first session. But you failed to prove anything and asked me "How Muhammad knew about the smoke". That is now clarified. You then asked me to show an error from the Quran. I asked you about the embryo. Muhammad goofed here. You seem not to understand even the question. Then I asked about the mathematical errors of the Quran.  So far you have failed to convince us that the Quran is from God. While I have shown it is not and it contains many errors.